

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

STEPHANIE GOODMAN,  
Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

NORRISTOWN AREA SCHOOL  
DISTRICT,  
Defendants.

NO. 20-1682

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 4th day of September, 2020, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike (ECF 19), Plaintiff's Opposition (ECF 22), and Defendant's Reply (ECF 23), **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant's motion's Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**. It is **GRANTED** as to Plaintiff's PHRA age and race discrimination claims; as to her PHRA retaliation claim stemming from the alleged October 2016 incident; as to her PHRA retaliation claim stemming from the alleged Summer 2019 incidents; and to her Title VII retaliation claim stemming from the alleged Summer 2019 incidents. It is **DENIED** in all other respects.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Strike is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**. It is **GRANTED** as to footnote 1 of the Amended Complaint; it is **DENIED** with respect to Paragraphs 16 and 18.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/Wendy Beetlestone, J.

---

**WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.**



